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**Background:** Altered cognitive processing following mood challenge is associated with elevated relapse risk in remitted unipolar depressed patients, but little is known about the neural basis of this reactivity and its link to depressive relapse and prophylaxis.

**Methods:** Remitted unipolar depressed participants (n = 16) and healthy control subjects (n = 16) underwent functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) while viewing sad and neutral film clips. Correlations were determined between emotional reactivity (neural responses to sad vs. neutral films) in remitted patients and subsequent relapse status over an 18 month follow-up period. A receiver operating characteristic analysis was used to determine signal cutoffs for predicting relapse. Emotional reactivity in relapse prognostic areas was compared between groups.

**Results:** Within the remitted group, relapse was predicted by medial prefrontal cortical (mPFC; Brodmann’s area 32) activity and contraindicated by visual cortical activity (Brodmann’s area 17). mPFC reactivity predicted rumination, whereas visual cortical reactivity predicted distress tolerance (acceptance). Compared with control participants, remitted depressed patients demonstrated a more pronounced tradeoff between mPFC and visual cortex reactivity. The difference score between mPFC and visual reactivity yielded excellent prediction of depressive relapse.

**Conclusions:** Medial prefrontal cortical reactivity to mood provocation in remitted unipolar depressed patients serves as a marker of relapse risk rather than successful emotion regulation. Enduring remission is characterized by normalization of the mPFC to that of healthy control subjects. Furthermore, visual cortex reactivity predicts resilience against depressive relapse, indicating a prophylactic role for sensory rather than ruminative cognitive reactivity in the processing of negative emotion.
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**Methods and Materials**

**Participants**

Participants were right-handed adults ranging in age from 21 to 61. Sixteen participants fully remitted from unipolar depression (mean age = 44, SD = 16; 11 female) and 16 healthy control subjects (mean age = 39, SD = 13; 11 female) participated in the study (Table 1 contains further participant information). All remitted patients had a history of three or more past episodes of depression at the time of recruitment (mean number of past episodes = 4.6, SD = 2.4). All remitted patients were taking prescribed antidepressant medication, without cognitive or behavioral therapy. Full details of patient criteria for...
study inclusion and assessment of relapse are included in Supplement 1. Patients were recruited to the study from the Centre for Addiction and Mental Health in Toronto, Canada, and the control participants were recruited from a community sample in the same region.

Measures
We employed two behavioral measures to index adaptive and maladaptive cognitive modes in the face of emotional challenge: the Acceptance and Action Questionnaire—Revised (AAQR) (11) and the Response Style Questionnaire Ruminative subscale (RSQ-R) (12), which measure tendencies to accept or worry about experiences, respectively. We also employed a behavioral measure of depressive severity, the Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HRSD) (13), to provide a more sensitive index of long-term depressive affect than the bivariate outcome of relapse status. Further descriptions of these measures are available in Supplement 1.

Procedure
Patients were recruited for neuroimaging from a previously published study on depression relapse (14); a summary of the recruitment methods appears in Supplement 1. Before scanning, all participants met with a licensed psychologist who determined major depressive disorder remission status based on Structured Clinical Interview for DSM and HRSD score. At this time, acceptance (AAQ) and ruminative (RSQ-R) measures were also obtained. Following scanning, patients were contacted monthly by staff psychologists for clinical interviews to determine relapse status, as well as to administer the HRSD as a continuous measure of symptom severity (Figure S1 in Supplement 1 demonstrates the relationship between maximum HRSD scores and relapse status). Relapse occurred at any point during the 18-month monitoring period and once documented, these patients were re-treated with antidepressant medication within a 48-hour interval.

Participants viewed four sets of film clips, with audio. Each set of clips came from a different source; neutral clips from television programs on gardening and woodworking, and sad clips from the films “The Champ,” and “Terms of Endearment.” Sets of clips were 3 min long and were edited into four 45-sec clips. Clips were shown in their original order from each film with an interspersed 30-sec reflection period between clips. At the end of each reflection period, participants had 6 sec to rate their level of sadness on a 5-point Likert scale. The experiment was conducted in two runs, with one set of neutral and sad films (composed of four clips each) presented in each run. Further details on the experimental design are available in Supplement 1.

Imaging Data
Setup. Imaging data were collected with a Siemens Trio 3.0-Tesla scanner (Siemens Medical Solutions, Erlangen, Germany). The block design experiment was designed and implemented using the Visual Basic programming language (version Visual Studio 2005; Microsoft, Redmond, Washington). Before scanning, participants were provided with instruction and practice on the fMRI task. Additional information on imaging setup and data acquisition is available in Supplement 1.

Structural Imaging. For each participant, a three-dimensional magnetization prepared rapid gradient echo pulse sequence was employed to obtain a high-resolution T1-weighted structural volume.

Functional Imaging. For each subject, a T2*-weighted gradient-echo echo-planar image (EPI) pulse sequence was prescribed and higher order shimmed for the functional trials.

Preprocessing. Functional activation was determined from the BOLD signal using the software Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM8, University College London, United Kingdom; http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/software/spm8). Following image reconstruction (SPM8 DICOM import utility), the time series data for each participant were motion-corrected and coregistered with their T1-weighted structural image. The T1 image was bias-corrected and segmented using template (International Consortium for Brain Mapping) tissue probability maps for gray and white matter and cerebrospinal fluid. Warping parameters were obtained from the tissue segmentation procedure and subsequently applied to the time-series data (resampling to 3 mm³ voxels). The time-series data were spatially smoothed to a 6 mm³ full-width half maximum Gaussian kernel. Lastly, a voxel level detrending procedure was applied to remove time-series components correlated with global fluctuations in the BOLD signal (15).
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Healthy Control Group (n = 16)</th>
<th>Remitted MDD Group (n = 16)</th>
<th>p Value Between Groups (t Test)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean ± SD</td>
<td>39.3 ± 15.7</td>
<td>43.9 ± 13.3</td>
<td>.370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female, %</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White, %</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>.207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married/Cohabitating, %</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>.887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed, %</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>.711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, Years</td>
<td>8.3 ± 1.7</td>
<td>7 ± 2.3</td>
<td>.101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRSD Score at Study Entry, Mean ± SD</td>
<td>4.1 ± 1.0</td>
<td>2.0 ± 2.3</td>
<td>.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceptance (AAQR), Mean ± SD</td>
<td>48.1 ± 5.8</td>
<td>40.6 ± 9.2</td>
<td>.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rumination (RSQ), Mean ± SD</td>
<td>28.8 ± 5.4</td>
<td>35.9 ± 5.8</td>
<td>.010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

% Relapsing                     | 62                            |                            |                                 |
| Number of Prior Episodes, Mean ± SD | 4.6 ± 2.4                     |                            |                                 |
| Age of Onset, Mean ± SD, y     | 42.6 ± 13.0                   |                            |                                 |
| Duration of Current Episode, Mean ± SD | 61.8 ± 83.4                  |                            |                                 |
| History of Prior Antidepressant Use, % | 73                           |                            |                                 |
| History of Psychiatric Hospitalization, % | 7          |                            |                                 |
| Any Axis I Comorbidity, %      | 38                            |                            |                                 |
| History of Substance Abuse or Dependence, % | 13                          |                            |                                 |
| Any Axis II Comorbidity, %     | 19                            |                            |                                 |

AAQR, Acceptance and Action Questionnaire—Revised; HRSD, Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression; MDD, major depressive disorder; RSQ, Response Style Questionnaire.
First-Level Statistical Models. Single subject time series data were submitted to first-level general linear statistical models examining neural activity during the neutral and sad film viewing periods. Using the SPM8 design specification, the task-specific boxcar stimulus functions were convolved with the canonical hemodynamic response function scaled to film-clip duration. Each model included high-pass filtering to remove low-frequency signal drift (period = 128 sec), and the AR1 method of estimating temporal autocorrelation. At this first level of analysis (within-subject), contrast images were calculated between sad and neutral film conditions; thus, for each voxel, Emotional Reactivity = BOLD_Sad Film - BOLD_Neu.

Whole Brain Analysis. To test for group differences in emotional reactivity, participant emotional reactivity maps from the first level of analysis were subjected to a one-way analysis of variance between the control and remitted groups. Voxel surviving a whole brain analysis using the false discovery rate (FDR) set at \( p < .05 \) were deemed statistically significant.

Neural Predictors of Relapse. To determine the neural correlates of relapse risk, we examined whether differences in emotional reactivity within the remitted group predicted relapse status during the follow-up period. We applied a linear regression model using the bivariate outcome variable of relapse status to identify brain voxels predictive of future relapse. Given the small number of participants for this regression and our interest in broad networks predicting relapse risk and prophylaxis, cluster rather than intensity thresholding was applied to correct for multiple comparisons. A minimum critical intensity t-threshold of \( T = 1.76 \) was applied with a false discovery rate corrected cluster threshold of \( p < .05 \) to identify these networks.

Specific Region of Interest (ROI) Analysis. To determine the most reliable specific neural predictors of relapse, functionally defined ROI clusters were identified based on a recent cross-run validation technique (17). Relapse status was regressed unto emotional reactivity separately in each of the two imaging runs, generating two independent parametric maps for relapse prediction. The conjunction of these maps was then calculated to identify the most reliable neural predictors of relapse. For each run, the minimum critical voxel peak threshold of \( T = 1.76 \) was retained, yielding a conjoint uncorrected probability of \( p < .005 \) for any voxels surviving the conjunction. A cluster extent threshold of 50 voxels was also applied to this conjunction data, based on a Monte Carlo simulation predicting that this cluster threshold would approximate a \( p < .05 \) corrected threshold. The ROIs with the best cross-run prediction of relapse and sustained remission were retained for further analysis. Mean signal was extracted from a 3-mm radius sphere centered on the peak voxels for relapse prediction from the conjunction analysis.

Explanatory Variable Analysis. To relate neural reactivity to patient symptom measures, emotional reactivity signal from both of the conjunction ROIs was tested for correlation with the AAQR and RSQ patient symptom measures, emotional reactivity signal from both of the independent films in both control \( [F(1,15) = 19.60, p < .001] \) and remitted groups \( [F(1,15) = 72.36, p < .001] \), indicating successful emotional challenge. Sadness ratings did not interact with group \( [F(1,30) = 1.79, p = .191] \), suggesting equivalent dysphoric mood induction, consistent with the absence of depressive symptoms in both groups at the time of scanning. Mood ratings at the time of scanning did not predict subsequent relapse status \( [r(14) = -.007, \text{ns}] \). Across all groups, ER was associated with widespread lateral and cortical midline BOLD activation (Figure 1A and 1B, Table S1 in Supplement 1), consistent with a prior study employing this paradigm (18). Refer to Supplement 1 for a comparison of the neural response associated with emotional reactivity between control and patient groups.

Relapse Prediction. Ten of the 16 remitted patients relapsed during the 18-month follow-up period. Within the MDD group, relapse was predicted by medial prefrontal cortical reactivity and contraindicated by calcine cortical reactivity (Figure 2A and 2B). To improve the reliability of this broad frontal/visual dissociation, we identified specific ROIs consistently associated with relapse in both of the independent functional imaging runs. In the medial prefrontal region, the most reliable predictor was located on the border of the anterior cingulate and ventral medial prefrontal cortex \( [r(14) = .68, p < .005] \); mPFC; BA 24/32, at peak height: \( x = 8; y = 38; z = 10 \), whereas in the visual cortices, the most reliable predictor was located in the left calcine sulcus, which negatively predicted relapse \( [r(14) = -.73, p < .001] \); BA 37; at peak height: \( x = -24; y = -64; z = 14 \). The mPFC correlated with peak HRSD during 18-month follow-up, \( [r(14) = .51, p < .05] \), and the calcine trended toward the negative prediction of peak HRSD \( [r(14) = -.43, p < .10] \). Relapse prediction also generalized to broad

Mediation Analyses. Mediation analyses were performed to determine the extent to which the prediction of relapse by brain activity could be equally well explained by correlated psychometric variables. These analyses effectively tested whether significant correlations between brain activity and future relapse status were rendered nonsignificant when examined as a partial correlation between brain and relapse status, controlling for the effects of psychometric variables. In addition to establishing brain–behavior correlations, this allowed an assessment of the added predictive value of fMRI biomarkers beyond that of psychometric variables. Mediation analyses were performed using AMOS 18 (JL Arbuckle, SPSS, Chicago, Illinois), describing the relationship between sensitivity (percent of relapers detected) and specificity (% of nonrelapers rejected) as a function of specific ROI percent signal change cutoff scores. The score that maximized both sensitivity and specificity was chosen as the cutoff score.

Results

Patient and control groups did not differ on demographic indicators such as gender, age, employment and marital status, or ethnic distribution (Table 1). Patients demonstrated higher depression scores than control subjects at study intake \( [t(15) = 2.68, p < .05] \), although all patients were in the normal range (HRSD \( < 7 \)). Emotional reactivity (ER) was operationalized through the comparison of sad and neutral film viewing periods. Sad films elicited greater reported sadness than neutral films in both control \( [F(1,15) = 19.60, p < .001] \) and remitted groups \( [F(1,15) = 72.36, p < .001] \), indicating successful emotional challenge. Sadness ratings did not interact with group \( [F(1,30) = 1.79, p = .191] \), suggesting equivalent dysphoric mood induction, consistent with the absence of depressive symptoms in both groups at the time of scanning. Mood ratings at the time of scanning did not predict subsequent relapse status \( [r(14) = -.007, \text{ns}] \). Across all groups, ER was associated with widespread lateral and cortical midline BOLD activation (Figure 1A and 1B, Table S1 in Supplement 1), consistent with a prior study employing this paradigm (18). Refer to Supplement 1 for a comparison of the neural response associated with emotional reactivity between control and patient groups.
anatomic ROIs: an anatomically more diffuse and conservative followup analysis revealed the entire mPFC anatomical ROI (BA 32) also predicted relapse status \( r(29) = .58, p < .05 \), and the calcarine anatomical ROI (BA 17) trended toward the negative prediction of relapse \( r(14) = -.44, p < .10 \). Similarly, the mPFC anatomical ROI (BA 32) correlated with peak HRSD scores \( r(14) = .51, p < .05 \) and the calcarine anatomical ROI (BA 17) trended toward the negative prediction of follow-up HRSD scores \( r(14) = -.36, p < .2; \) Figure 2C and 2D.

Further analyses of the relapsed and sustained remission patients revealed that the two groups did not differ in depressive symptoms at time of scanning \( t(14) = .396, n.s. \), in number of prior episodes \( t(14) = .567, n.s. \) or in antidepressant dosage \( t(14) = .567, n.s. \). As such, the mPFC markers were not merely a reflection of residual depressive symptoms (HRSD scores at scan time), a different history of recurrent depression (number of past episodes), or different dosage levels of psychotropic medication. Furthermore, entering these factors into the relapse prediction analysis only increased the predictive utility of the specific mPFC [partial \( r(11) = .791, p = .001 \)] and visual cortical [partial \( r(11) = -.786, p = .001 \)] regions.

Psychometric Variables. The specific mPFC ROI activity predicted RSQ rumination \( r(14) = .56, p < .05 \), whereas calcarine ROI activity predicted AAQR acceptance \( r(14) = .55, p < .05 \). An inverse correlation was observed between both the medial prefrontal and visual regions of interest, in terms of both specific ROIs \( r(14) = -.55, p < .05 \) and anatomically defined ROIs \( r(14) = -.50, p < .05 \), indicating that the patients with the greatest visual cortical reactivity demonstrated the least medial prefrontal reactivity and vice versa. Relapse was marginally predicted by rumination \( r(14) = .46, p = .07 \) and acceptance \( r(14) = -.45, p = .08 \), but these factors did not account for mPFC [partial \( r(12) = .68, p = .008 \)] or visual cortical [partial \( r(12) = -.71, p = .004 \)] prediction. Mediation analyses confirmed that rumination and acceptance did not account for the relationship between brain activity and relapse (Figure 3); however, brain activity mediated the relationship between behavioral predictors and relapse. Finally, of all the demographic information, only education predicted protection from relapse \( r(14) = -.64, p = .007 \), but despite this strong association, its mediation effects on brain activity prediction were nonsignificant, suggesting an independent source of prediction from these two brain regions.

**Comparison with Control Subjects.** MPFC and calcarine ROIs were entered into a one-way analysis of variance with control subjects, remitted, and relapsing participants entered as separate groups. A significant main effect of group was found for both the mPFC \( F(2,29) = 7.24, p = .003 \) and the calcarine regions \( F(2,29) = 8.32, p = .001 \). Post hoc tests for the mPFC revealed significant differences from control subjects in the relapse \( p = .007 \), but not in the sustained remission groups \( p = .164 \), consistent with the normalization of medial prefrontal reactivity in patients who sustain remission (Figure 2, left bar graph). Post hoc tests for the calcarine regions revealed greater reactivity in the sustained remission group compared with controls \( p < .001 \), but not in the relapse group compared with control subjects \( p = .223 \), consistent with a compensatory response in the remitted group (Figure 2, right bar graph).

**ROC Analysis.** An ROC analysis was performed to determine the accuracy of relapse prediction using the specific mPFC and calcarine ROIs percent signal change during the emotional reactivity paradigm. For the mPFC ROI, a signal change cutoff of 0% or greater resulted in very good sensitivity (90%) and very good specificity (83%) for predicting relapse. For the calcarine ROI, a cutoff score of \(-.1\%\) signal change resulted in perfect sensitivity (100%) and good specificity (80%) for predicting sustained remission. Furthermore, using the difference score between the mPFC and calcarine ROIs improved prediction accuracy at a 0% signal change cutoff score, yielding perfect sensitivity (100%) and very good specificity (83%) for predicting relapse.
analyses performed using behavioral scores as predictors yielded poorer discrimination than the brain ROIs: the mPFC had a greater area under the ROC curve (AUC) than rumination (.90 vs. .75), and the calcarine had a greater AUC than acceptance (.93 vs. .75).

**Dorsal Versus Ventral MPFC.** Both dorsal and ventral aspects of mPFC reactivity predicted relapse, consistent with suggestions that, in depression, dorsal task-oriented processing becomes linked to ventral self-evaluative processing in the mPFC (19). Although the optimal prefrontal predictor of relapse was found in the ventral mPFC region described earlier, a reliable predictor was also found in the left dorsal mPFC (BA 9/32, at peak height: x = -22; y = 34, z = 34). This region demonstrated similar associations with relapse status \[r(14) = .67\], peak HRSD \[r(14) = .35\], and rumination \[r(14) = .52\], and relapsing patients demonstrated heightened reactivity in this dorsal region relative to healthy control subjects \[t(24) = 2.58, p < .05\]. Between subjects correlations revealed that although the dorsal mPFC demonstrated a positive association with ventral mPFC activity in relapsing patients \[r(8) = .49\], this correlation was absent in sustained remitters \[r(4) = -.02\], and these regions were inversely correlated in control subjects \[r(14) = -.53\].

**Discussion**

We employed sad mood provocation to conduct a prospective neuroimaging study of depressive relapse. Expansive mPFC reactivity predicted depressive relapse, encompassing both dorsal and ventral aspects of the mPFC (Figure 2, Panel A). The mPFC prediction of relapse was partially associated with patient ruminative tendencies, but much of mPFC relapse prediction was not accounted for by rumination (Figure 3, Panel A). It may be that mPFC reactivity represents other maladaptive processes during film viewing, such as the inefficient recruitment of the mPFC in attempts to cognitively regulate negative moods.

**Figure 2.** Neural correlates of depressive relapse in the major depressive disorder (MDD) group. (A) Prefrontal activation during emotional challenge is associated with depressive relapse over the following 18 months, reliably characterized by a region along the right anterior cingulate/medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) border, the signal change of which in each group is portrayed in the bar graph to the bottom right of the panel. (B) Visual association cortices, as well as the dorsal cerebellum and precuneus activations, are associated with sustained MDD remission over the follow-up period. These phyloplastic regions are reliably characterized by a region along the left calcarine gyrus, the signal change of which in each group is portrayed in the bar graph to the bottom right of the panel. (C) The specific (conjunction defined) mPFC relapse-predicting region is positively correlated with trait rumination scores (left); relapse prediction generalizes to an anatomical definition of the mPFC (Brodmann’s area 32), the reactivity of which predicts peak Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HSRD) over the follow-up period (right). (D) The specific (conjunction defined) calcarine relapse-predicting region is positively correlated with trait rumination scores (left); relapse prediction generalizes to an anatomical definition of the calcarine (Brodmann’s area 17), the reactivity of which negatively predicts peak HSRD over the follow-up period (right). AAQR, Acceptance and Action Questionnaire—Revised; ROI, region of interest; RSQ, Response Style Questionnaire.
emotion (10). The failure of mPFC reactivity to protect against relapse in recovered patients is consistent with current research suggesting that frontal connectivity to limbic structures appears to be compromised in depressed populations (9,10).

We also observed an intriguing association between visual cortical activity and relapse prophylaxis (Figure 2). In this case, visual activity was associated with trait acceptance, but like the mPFC region, this association did not account for the visual area’s predictive power (Figure 3, Panel B). The data suggest a distinction between a maladaptive and ruminative form of reactivity in the mPFC, and an adaptive and accepting form of reactivity in the visual cortices. Although more research is necessary to determine exactly what the unexplained sources of variance in the mPFC and visual cortex represent, our data suggest that an attitude of acceptance or observation, rather than interpretation and analysis may help to alter the pattern of cortical reactivity. Both mPFC and visual cortical reactivity contributed to the optimal prediction of relapse (Figure 4), suggesting that both patterns of reactivity are important in the assessment of relapse risk. Currently clinicians do not rely on neuroimaging data to determine a patient’s need for maintenance treatment, but the fact that these patterns of neural activation achieved very high sensitivity and high specificity suggest that they would be useful in indexing vulnerability and treatment planning in otherwise nonsymptomatic patients.

Further analyses suggested that patients employed one of two opposing modes of reactivity to emotional challenge, as we observed a negative correlation between prefrontal and visual regions in the remitted patients. Such a trade-off can be viewed as two types of reactivity, allocating attention either to sensory (i.e., visual) or elaborative (i.e., prefrontal) cortical systems in reaction to an emotionally challenging stimulus. Other investigators have reported a similar trade-off, in which higher visual or limbic but lower prefrontal reactivity was associated with greater recovery from depression, (7,20) suggesting a rebalancing of perceptual and evaluative networks (21–24), which may indicate competing self-empathetic versus other-empathetic re-
sponses in the context of viewing another’s suffering (25). Easily triggered self-evaluative responses to negative emotion may in turn lead to a rehearsal of dysphoric states, thereby promoting maladaptive cognitive reactivity (23), whereas refraining from engaging these self-evaluative responses may free attention to focus on less evaluative perceptual representations. What predisposes an individual to react with visceral rather than prefrontal processing is still unknown, and will be a critical research question in the development of new preventive therapies. The expansiveness of relapse-prognostic reactivity within the prefrontal cortices is itself notable, because activity between ventral and dorsal mPFC areas is generally negatively correlated in healthy populations, suggesting dissociated self-oriented (ventral) and task-oriented (dorsal) processing (19). The coactivation of these regions in relapsing patients suggests that the inability to disengage from self-related processing during external film viewing may be a hallmark of relapse risk, an idea supported by the positive coupling of dorsal and ventral mPFC regions in relapsing patients, rather than the more typical anticorrelation observed between these regions in control subjects. Specifically, recruitment of a ventral prefrontal network may belie maladaptive affective judgment (26–27), an idea supported by our finding of our association between the mPFC ROI and trait rumination. The tendency to react to negative events by engaging in affectively laden interpretation seems to be a critical determinant of depressive relapse.

In summary, it appears that sustained remission from depression is characterized by a combination of 1) the normalization of mPFC reactivity to levels observed in healthy control subjects and 2) greater sensory reactivity in visual cortices relative to levels observed in control subjects. Thus, although sensory reactivity to sadness may be an indicator of depression history (28), it is an indicator of adaptive regulation rather than future pathology. The emergence of prophylactic sensory reactivity is consistent using an alternate coping strategy that avoids relying on the compromised neural connections between the frontal lobe and limbic system observed in chronic depression (9,10).

This study is limited in its ability to determine conclusively the neural predictors of depressive relapse because of its small sample size and the limited number of functional runs for effective cross-validation of our regions of interest. However, our findings, although in need of replication, suggest that prevention efforts designed to teach patients how to modify their habitual interpretive biases in reaction to stressors, through a sensory focus or other potential pathways, may enable recruitment of neural networks with less functional impairment.
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